

## MSV 9: The “Do We Divide by n or n – 1?” Sheet

Suppose you are given the population of numbers 1, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 9,  
where each number is equally likely to be picked each time.

To find the ‘population variance’ =  $\sigma^2$  (= ‘msd’ (mean square deviation) for MEI)  
(=  $\sigma_n^2$  on some calculators)  
= the ‘variance of the entire population’,  
divide by n.

$$\text{So for this population, } \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2}{n} = \frac{207 - 6\left(\frac{31}{6}\right)^2}{6} = 7.81 \text{ (3sf).}$$

So  $\sigma$  (= rmsd (‘root mean square deviation’) for MEI) =  $\sqrt{7.805555} = 2.79$  (3sf).

However, if we choose a sample from this population, let’s say of size 3,  
we now switch to using ‘sample variance’ =  $s^2$  (= ‘variance’ for MEI)  
(=  $\sigma_{n-1}^2$  on some calculators),  
which means dividing by n – 1.

Suppose our sample is 3, 4 and 8.

$$\text{So here, } s^2 = \frac{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2}{n-1} = \frac{89 - 3\left(\frac{15}{3}\right)^2}{2} = 7.$$

So s (= ‘standard deviation’ for MEI) =  $\sqrt{7} = 2.65$  (3sf).

Why do we do use n – 1 here?

Because we would like the expected (average) value of the sample variance  
to be equal to the population variance  $\sigma^2$ .

It turns out that the expected (average) value of  $s^2$  for samples size n  
(so dividing by n – 1) is exactly  $\sigma^2$  for the whole population.

So dividing by n rather than n – 1  
would on average give an estimate for  $\sigma^2$  that was too small.

Try the spreadsheet that accompanies this page and try to check the above.

*Note: statisticians by convention use  
Roman characters (the ones we normally use) when talking about sample statistics  
(for example, s for standard deviation and  $\bar{x}$  for the sample mean),  
and Greek characters when talking about population parameters  
(for example,  $\mu$  for the population mean  
and  $\sigma$  for the population standard deviation.)*

***www.making-statistics-vital.co.uk***